ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION RECEIVED

	RECEIVED
JEFF HATCH-MILLER	
Chairman	2005 AUG 2b ₽ 2: 24
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL	2003 AUG 26 P 2. 24
Commissioner	A 7 CODD COMMICCION
MARC SPITZER	AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL
Commissioner	DOCOMENT CONTROL
MIKE GLEASON	
Commissioner	
KRISTIN K. MAYES	
Commissioner	

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION)	
OF DIECA COMMUNICATIONS, INC.,)	
D/B/A COVAD COMMUNICATIONS)	
COMPANY, FOR ARBITRATION TO)	Docket No. T-03632A-04-0425 T-01051B-04-0425
RESOLVE ISSUES RELATING TO AN)	T-010513-64-0425
INTER-CONNECTION AGREEMENT)	
WITH QWEST CORPORATION)	

COVAD'S STATEMENT REGARDING THE FCC'S DECISION ON ISP <u>ACCESS TO INCUMBENT TELEPHONE NETWORKS</u>

Pursuant to the arbitrator's August 12, 2005 procedural order ("Order"), DIECA Communications, Inc. d/b/a Covad Communications Company ("Covad") provides the following statement regarding the Federal Communications Commission's ("FCC") decision on ISP access to incumbent wireline telephone networks:

In the Order, the arbitrator requested the parties and staff to file a statement regarding the impact, if any, of the FCC decision of August 5, 2005 in which the FCC eliminated certain facilities sharing requirements with respect to facilities-based wireline broadband Internet access service providers.

The FCC has not yet released a report and order in connection with its August 5 decision. Rather, the FCC only issued an abbreviated press release outlining the scope of its decision. Hence, the impact of the decision cannot be fully assessed until a final

57

report and order is issued. Nonetheless, based upon the August 5 press release and the companion comments of the FCC commissioners, Covad believes that the decision has no impact on any of the issues in this docket. The decision does not address the availability of unbundled network elements under any provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 ("Act") and, specifically, takes no position on Qwest's duty to provision network elements under section 271 of the Act, which is one of the issues before the arbitrator. Thus, whether Qwest has a duty under section 271 of the Act to provision certain network elements including, loops and dedicated transport, at cost-based rates is not impacted by the FCC's decision. Consequently, the arbitrator is not restrained in any way by the FCC decision from ordering Qwest to provision network elements to Covad under section 271 of the Act at cost-based rates. Likewise, the FCC decision has no impact on any of the other issues pending before the arbitrator.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Dated: August 26, 2005

DIECA COMMUNICATIONS, INC

By:

Gregory Diamond Senior Counsel Covad Communications Company 7901 Lowry Boulevard Denver, CO 80230 (720) 670-1069 (720) 670-3350 Fax

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a true and correct copy of COVAD'S STATEMENT REGARDING THE FCC'S DECISION ON ISP ACCESS TO INCUMBENT TELEPHONE NETWORKS was electronically mailed this 26th day of August, 2005, to the following:

Dwight Nodes, Esq.
Administrative Law Judge
Hearing Division
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85007
dnodes@cc.state.az.us

Maureen Scott, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, AZ 85007 mscott@cc.state.az.us

Ernest Johnson
Utilities Division
Arizona Corporation Commission
1200 West Washington
Phoenix, AZ 85007
ejohnson@cc.state.az.us

Winslow B. Waxter
George B. Thomson, Jr.
Qwest Services Corp.
1005 17th Street, Suite 200
Denver, CO 80209
Email: winslow.waxter@qwest.com
George.thomson@qwest.com

John M. Devaney
PERKINS COIE LLP
607 Fourteenth Street, N.W., Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20005-2011
Email: jdevaney@perkinscoie.com

Norman G. Curtright
Laurel Burke
Qwest Corporation
1801 California, Suite 4900
Denver, CO 80202
E-mail: norm.curtright@qwest.com
Laurel.burke@qwest.com

Timothy Berg, Esq.
Theresa Dwyer, Esq.
Fennemore Craig
3003 North Central Avenue, Ste. 2600
Phoenix, AZ 85012
tberg@fclaw.com
tdwyer@fclaw.com

Jum Hankens